

2015 ICE EXCHANGE

OCTOBER 27-30

PORTLAND MARRIOTT DOWNTOWN WATERFRONT

PORTLAND, OREGON



ICE Institute for
Credentialing
Excellence™

Fast-tracking New Item Types into an Existing Exam: Using Cognitive Labs to Evaluate Item Types and Inform Decisions





Your Presenters



Jared Zurn, AIA

National Council of Architectural Registration Boards



Susan Davis-Becker, PhD.

Alpine Testing Solutions



Session Objectives

- Understand the challenges associated with pretesting new item types and presentation formats on an existing exam.
- Gain insight into how a more agile approach can allow for an iterative approach to test design.
- Understand how cognitive labs can be designed and carried out to predict performance aspects of new item types and formats.
- Be able to interpret the results of a cognitive lab to inform examination decisions and predict how new item types will achieve identified measurement goals.

NCARB's Exam Change

*“No one to tell us no
Or where to go
Or say we're only dreaming”*



Challenges & Solutions

- Urgency to “Get it Done”
- Lack of details early
- Understanding new items
- Unbiased pretesting
- Agile Approach
- Open Communication
- Cognitive lab
- Post-delivery analysis





Think Aloud Study

Timing Study

**Cognitive
Lab Design**



Part 1: Think Aloud Study

Who & What

- Mock candidates (RLAs)
- Exam “form” included 1 case study (+ items) and new item types
- Candidates were asked to verbally report (think aloud) as they worked through each item

How

Observers record process, thoughts, points of confusion, sources of challenge



A Volunteer Opportunity

- A VERY BRIEF Think Aloud Session
- FYI: It's a math question





Think Aloud: Example

A quantitative fill-in-the-blank

A machine packs boxes at one box every 45 seconds (3/4 of a minute). How many boxes can this machine pack in ten minutes?

Boxes



Think Aloud Findings

- Preparation is key! Topics and content for advance materials
- Interface: Image display, response functionality, tools
- Case Studies: Use of case resources, ideas for training materials, relationship of items to cases
- New item types: Sources of challenge/confusion



Part II: Timing Study

Who & What

- Mock candidates (RLAs)
- Exam “form” included multiple items with known parameters and a collection of new items
- Candidates were introduced to the exam and allowed to test interrupted

How

Timing data was captured for timing analysis and candidates completed a post-event survey.



Timing Study Findings

- Candidates spent longer than in the operational exam
- Estimates of case study review time
- Refined our estimates of average time by item type

Challenges Encountered

- Study Design
 - » Putting together the right selection of content
 - » Coordinating work
- Execution
 - » Recruiting candidates
 - » Interpretation of findings, generalization

Summary and Recommendations

- Cognitive labs
 - » Plausible option to evaluate format and content
 - » Provide value resources to the development team and program leaders
- Guidance for implementation
 - » Make this part of your overall test development plan
 - » Conduct when you feel comfortable with content development progress but also have time to implement changes

Questions?

